arXiv:1304.1954v1 [math-ph] 7 Apr 2013

A new approach to hom-Lie bialgebras [*]

Yunhe Sheng
Department of Mathematics, Jilin University,
Changchun 130012, Jilin, China
Email: shengyh@jlu.edu.cn

Chengming Bai
Chern Institute of Mathematics and LPMC, Nankai University,
Tianjin 300071, China
Email: baicm@nankai.edu.cn

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a new definition of a hom-Lie bialgebra, which is equivalent to a Manin triple of hom-Lie algebras. We also introduce a notion of an $\mathcal{O}$-operator and then construct solutions of the classical hom-Yang-Baxter equation in terms of $\mathcal{O}$-operators and hom-left-symmetric algebras.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Representations of hom-Lie algebras **2**

3 Matched pairs, hom-Lie bialgebras, and Manin triples **6**

4 r-matrices **9**

5 Interpretation of r-matrices in terms of operator forms **14**

1 Introduction

The notion of a hom-Lie algebra was introduced by Hartwig, Larsson, and Silvestrov in [5] as part of a study of deformations of the Witt and the Virasoro algebras. In a hom-Lie algebra, the Jacobi identity is twisted by a linear map, called the hom-Jacobi identity. Some q-deformations of the Witt and the Virasoro algebras have the structure of a hom-Lie algebra [5]. Because of close

---

[0] *Keyword: hom-Lie algebras, hom-Lie bialgebras, Manin triples, r-matrices, $\mathcal{O}$-operators, hom-left-symmetric algebras*

[0] *MSC*: 17A30,17B62.

[*] Research partially supported by NSFC (11101179, 11271202, 11221091) and SRFDP (20100061120096, 20120031110022).

relation to discrete and deformed vector fields and differential calculus [5, 6, 7], hom-Lie algebras are widely studied in the following aspects: representation and cohomology theory [1, 2, 11, 14], deformation theory [8], categorification theory [12], bialgebra theory [3, 13, 15]. See [9, 10, 16, 17] for other interesting hom-algebra structures.

In [13], the author introduced a notion of a hom-Lie bialgebra, and study coboundary ones and triangular ones in detail. A hom-Lie bialgebra is a hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ together with a cobracket $\Delta : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}$ such that Δ is a 1-cocycle:

$$\Delta[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathrm{ad}_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)}\Delta(y) - \mathrm{ad}_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)}\Delta(x).$$

In the case of the ordinary Lie algebras, there is a Manin triple of Lie algebras which is equivalent to a Lie bialgebra. However, a hom-Lie bialgebra defined above does not satisfy this property.

In this paper, we introduce a new definition of a hom-Lie bialgebra (Definition 3.3). Now given a hom-Lie bialgebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$, $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a hom-Lie algebra such that $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*; \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$ is a Manin triple of hom-Lie algebras. We also study the classical hom-Yang-Baxter equation in detail, and construct an r-matrix in the semidirect hom-Lie algebra by introducing a notion of an $\mathcal{O}$-operator for a hom-Lie algebra.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall representations of hom-Lie algebras and corresponding coboundary operators. In particular, we extend the hom-Lie bracket to $\Lambda^\bullet\mathfrak{g}$, which will be used when we consider coboundary hom-Lie bialgebras. Given a representation (V, A, ρ), we also give the condition under which (V^*, A^*, ρ^*) is also a representation. In this case, we say that the representation is admissible. We also give some basic properties for admissible representations. In Section 3, we introduce the notions of a matched pair of hom-Lie algebras, a hom-Lie bialgebra, and a Manin triple of hom-Lie algebras. The following three expressions are equivalent: $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$ is a hom-Lie bialgebra, $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*; \mathrm{ad}^*, \mathfrak{ad}^*)$ is a matched pair of hom-Lie algebras, and $(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*; \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$ is a standard Manin triple of hom-Lie algebras. In Section 4, we study coboundary hom-Lie bialgebras and triangular hom-Lie bialgebras. In particular, we describe the condition of r being a solution of the classical hom-Yang-Baxter equation using a cocycle condition. We also consider Lagrangian hom-subalgebras at the end of this section: we prove that $\mathcal{G}_R$ is a Lagrangian hom-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*$, which is the double of a hom-Lie bialgebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$ if and only if R satisfies a Maurer-Cartan type equation (Theorem 4.11). In Section 5, we study r-matrices in terms of operator forms. We introduce a notion of an $\mathcal{O}$-operator, which can be viewed as a special hom-Nijenhuis operator for the semidirect product hom-Lie algebra. The relations between $\mathcal{O}$-operators and hom-left-symmetric algebras are studied. At last, we construct solutions of the classical hom-Yang-Baxter equation in the semidirect product hom-Lie algebras in terms of $\mathcal{O}$-operators (Theorem 5.12) and hom-left-symmetric algebras (Corollary 5.13) .

Throughout this paper, all vector spaces and algebras are assumed to be finite-dimensional, although many results still hold in the infinite-dimensional case.

Notations: $x,\ y,\ z,\ x_i,\ y_i$ are elements in $\mathfrak{g}$, $\xi,\ \eta,\ \gamma$ are elements in $\mathfrak{g}^*$ and u, v, w are elements in V. ad and $\mathfrak{ad}$ are the adjoint representation associated to hom-Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^*$ respectively.

2 Representations of hom-Lie algebras

In this section, we recall some basic notions and facts about hom-Lie algebras and their representations [5, 11].

Definition 2.1. [5] *A* **hom-Lie algebra**[1] *is a triple* $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_\mathfrak{g},\phi_\mathfrak{g})$ *consisting of a linear space* $\mathfrak{g}$*, a skew-symmetric bilinear map (bracket)* $[\cdot,\cdot]_\mathfrak{g}:\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{g}$ *and an algebra homomorphism* $\phi_\mathfrak{g}:\mathfrak{g}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{g}$ *satisfying*

$$[\phi_\mathfrak{g}(x),[y,z]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}+[\phi_\mathfrak{g}(y),[z,x]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}+[\phi_\mathfrak{g}(z),[x,y]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}=0. \tag{1}$$

A hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_\mathfrak{g},\phi_\mathfrak{g})$ *is said to be* **regular (involutive)***, if* $\phi_\mathfrak{g}$ *is nondegenerate (satisfies* $\phi_\mathfrak{g}^2=\mathrm{Id}$*).*

A subspace $\mathfrak{h}\subset\mathfrak{g}$ *is a* **hom-Lie subalgebra** *of* $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_\mathfrak{g},\phi_\mathfrak{g})$ *if* $\phi_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{h})\subset\mathfrak{h}$ *and* $\mathfrak{h}$ *is closed under the bracket operation* $[\cdot,\cdot]_\mathfrak{g}$*, i.e.*

$$[x,x']_\mathfrak{g}\in\mathfrak{h},\quad\forall\ x,x'\in\mathfrak{h}.$$

The bracket operation could be extended to $\Lambda^\bullet\mathfrak{g}$ via

$$[x_1\wedge\cdots x_m,y_1\wedge\cdots y_n]_\mathfrak{g}=\sum_{i,j}(-1)^{i+j}[x_i,y_j]_\mathfrak{g}\wedge\phi_\mathfrak{g}(x_1)\wedge\cdots\widehat{x_i}\cdots\wedge\phi_\mathfrak{g}(x_m)\wedge\phi_\mathfrak{g}(y_1)\wedge\cdots\widehat{y_j}\cdots\wedge\phi_\mathfrak{g}(y_n).$$

By the fact that $\phi_\mathfrak{g}$ is an algebra homomorphism, it is easy to see that the extended bracket satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}[P,Q]_\mathfrak{g}&=-(-1)^{(p-1)(q-1)}[Q,P]_\mathfrak{g},\\ [P,Q\wedge R]_\mathfrak{g}&=[P,Q]_\mathfrak{g}\wedge\phi_\mathfrak{g}(R)+(-1)^{q(p-1)}\phi_\mathfrak{g}(Q)\wedge[P,R]_\mathfrak{g},\\ [\phi_\mathfrak{g}(P),[Q,R]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}&=[[P,Q]_\mathfrak{g},\phi_\mathfrak{g}(R)]_\mathfrak{g}+(-1)^{(p-1)(q-1)}[\phi_\mathfrak{g}(Q),[P,R]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g},\end{aligned}$$

for any $P\in\Lambda^p\mathfrak{g},\ Q\in\Lambda^q\mathfrak{g},\ R\in\Lambda^r\mathfrak{g}$.

For any $x\in\mathfrak{g}$, define $\mathrm{ad}_x:\Lambda^p\mathfrak{g}\longrightarrow\Lambda^p\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathrm{ad}_xP=[x,P]_\mathfrak{g}$. Its dual map $\mathrm{ad}_x^*:\Lambda^p\mathfrak{g}^*\longrightarrow\Lambda^p\mathfrak{g}^*$ is defined as usual:$\langle\mathrm{ad}_x^*\Phi,P\rangle=-\langle\Phi,\mathrm{ad}_xP\rangle$. More precisely, for any $\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_p\in\mathfrak{g}^*$, we have

$$\mathrm{ad}_x^*(\xi_1\wedge\cdots\xi_p)=\sum_{i=1}^p\phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\xi_1)\wedge\cdots\mathrm{ad}_x^*\xi_i\wedge\cdots\phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\xi_p). \tag{2}$$

Definition 2.2. *A* **homomorphism of hom-Lie algebras** $f:(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_\mathfrak{g},,\phi_\mathfrak{g})\longrightarrow(\mathfrak{k},[\cdot,\cdot]_\mathfrak{k},\phi_\mathfrak{k})$ *is a linear map* $f:\mathfrak{g}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{k}$ *such that*

$$f[x,y]_\mathfrak{g}=[f(x),f(y)]_\mathfrak{k}, \tag{3}$$

$$f\circ\phi_\mathfrak{g}=\phi_\mathfrak{k}\circ f. \tag{4}$$

Let $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_\mathfrak{g},\phi_\mathfrak{g})$ be a hom-Lie algebra and V be an arbitrary vector space. Let $A\in\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be an arbitrary linear transformation from V to V. The notion of a representation of a hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_\mathfrak{g},\phi_\mathfrak{g})$ was introduced in [11].

Definition 2.3. *A* **representation of a hom-Lie algebra** $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_\mathfrak{g},\phi_\mathfrak{g})$ *on a vector space* V *with respect to* $A\in\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ *is a linear map* $\rho:\mathfrak{g}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{gl}(V)$*, such that for any* $x,y\in\mathfrak{g}$*, the following equalities are satisfied:*

(i) $\rho(\phi_\mathfrak{g}(x))\circ A=A\circ\rho(x)$;

(ii) $\rho([x,y]_\mathfrak{g})\circ A=\rho(\phi_\mathfrak{g}(x))\circ\rho(y)-\rho(\phi_\mathfrak{g}(y))\circ\rho(x)$.

---

[1] The hom-Lie algebras defined here are also called multiplicative hom-Lie algebras in some references.

We denote a representation by (V, A, ρ). It is straightforward to see that $(\mathfrak{g}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathrm{ad})$ is a representation, called the **adjoint representation**.

Given a representation (V, A, ρ), we can construct a new hom-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes_\rho V = (\mathfrak{g} \oplus V, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}\ltimes_\rho V}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus A)$ as the semidirect product:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus A)(x,u) & = & (\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x), A(u)),\\ {[(x,u),(y,v)]_{\mathfrak{g}\ltimes_\rho V}} & = & ([x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \rho(x)(v) - \rho(y)(u)).\end{array}$$

Given a representation (V, A, ρ), define $\rho^* : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}(V^*)$ by

$$\langle \rho^*(x)(\alpha), v\rangle = -\langle \alpha, \rho(x)(v)\rangle, \qquad \forall\ x \in \mathfrak{g}, \alpha \in V^*, v \in V. \tag{5}$$

As observed in [2], (V^*, A^*, ρ^*) is not a representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ on V^* with respect to A^* in general. However, it is easy to obtain the following result by definition.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot], \phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a hom-Lie algebra and (V, A, ρ) be a representation. Then (V^*, A^*, ρ^*) is a representation if and only if the following equations hold:*

(i) $A \circ \rho(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)) = \rho(x) \circ A$;

(ii) $A \circ \rho([x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) = \rho(x) \circ \rho(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)) - \rho(y) \circ \rho(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x))$.

A representation (V, A, ρ) is called **admissible** if (V^*, A^*, ρ^*) is also a representation, i.e. conditions (i) and (ii) in the above lemma are satisfied. When we focus on the adjoint representation, we get

Corollary 2.5. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a hom-Lie algebra. The adjoint representation $(\mathfrak{g}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathrm{ad})$ is admissible if and only if the following two equations hold:*

$$[(\mathrm{Id} - \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^2)(x), \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0, \tag{6}$$

$$[(\mathrm{Id} - \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^2)(x), [\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y), z]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} = [(\mathrm{Id} - \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^2)(y), [\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x), z]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}}. \tag{7}$$

Remark 2.6. *If $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a regular hom-Lie algebra, Eq. (6) implies that the image of $\mathrm{Id} - \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^2$, denoted by $\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{Id} - \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^2)$, belongs to the center of $\mathfrak{g}$, denoted by $\mathrm{Cen}(\mathfrak{g})$. If so, Eq. (7) holds naturally. Thus, for a regular hom-Lie algebra, the induced map ad^* is a representation if and only if $\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{Id} - \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^2) \subset \mathrm{Cen}(\mathfrak{g})$.*

Definition 2.7. *A hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is called* **admissible** *if its adjoint representation is admissible, i.e. Eqs. (6) and (7) are satisfied.*

Corollary 2.8. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a regular admissible hom-Lie algebra, then we have*

$$\mathrm{ad}_x^* {\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*}^2(\xi) = \mathrm{ad}_x^* \xi.$$

Proof. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is regular, by Eq. (6), we have $[\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^2(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}} = [x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Thus we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\langle \mathrm{ad}_x^* {\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*}^2(\xi), y\rangle & = & -\langle \xi, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^2[x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}}\rangle = -\langle \xi, [\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^2(x), \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^2(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}\rangle\\ & = & -\langle \xi, [x, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^2(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}\rangle = -\langle \xi, [x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}}\rangle = \langle \mathrm{ad}_x^* \xi, y\rangle,\end{array}$$

which implies the conclusion. ■

Lemma 2.9. *Let* $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}},\phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ *and* $(\mathfrak{g}^*,[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}^*},\phi_{\mathfrak{g}^*})$ *be two admissible hom-Lie algebras. Then we have*

$$[\xi,\mathrm{ad}^*_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)}\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}=[(\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}})^2(\xi),\mathrm{ad}^*_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)}\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}.$$

Proof. For any $x\in\mathfrak{g}$, since $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}},\phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is admissible, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle[\xi,\mathrm{ad}^*_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)}\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*},x\rangle &= -\langle\mathfrak{ad}^*_{\xi}x,\mathrm{ad}^*_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)}\eta\rangle=\langle[\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y),\mathfrak{ad}^*_{\xi}x]_{\mathfrak{g}},\eta\rangle\\ &= \langle[\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y),\phi^2_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathfrak{ad}^*_{\xi}x]_{\mathfrak{g}},\eta\rangle=\langle[\xi,{\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}}^2\mathrm{ad}^*_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)}\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*},x\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$[\xi,\mathrm{ad}^*_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)}\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}=[\xi,{\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}}^2\mathrm{ad}^*_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)}\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}.$$

Since $(\mathfrak{g}^*,[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}^*},\phi_{\mathfrak{g}^*})$ is also an admissible hom-Lie algebra, we get

$$[\xi,{\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}}^2\mathrm{ad}^*_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)}\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}=[{\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}}^2(\xi),{\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}}^2\mathrm{ad}^*_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)}\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}=[{\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}}^2(\xi),\mathrm{ad}^*_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)}\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*},$$

which implies the conclusion. ■

The set of **k-cochains** on $\mathfrak{g}$ with values in V, which we denote by $C^k(\mathfrak{g};V)$, is the set of skew-symmetric k-linear maps from $\mathfrak{g}\times\cdots\times\mathfrak{g}$ (k-times) to V:

$$C^k(\mathfrak{g};V)\triangleq\{f:\wedge^k\mathfrak{g}\longrightarrow V\text{ is a }k\text{-linear map}\}.$$

A **k-hom-cochain** on $\mathfrak{g}$ with values in V is defined to be a k-cochain $f\in C^k(\mathfrak{g};V)$ such that it is compatible with $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and A in the sense that $A\circ f=f\circ\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}$, i.e.

$$A(f(x_1,\cdots,x_k))=f(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x_1),\cdots,\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x_k)).$$

Denote by $C^k_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}},A}(\mathfrak{g};V)$ the set of k-hom-cochains:

$$C^k_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}},A}(\mathfrak{g};V)\triangleq\{f\in C^k(\mathfrak{g};V)|\ A\circ f=f\circ\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}\}.$$

In [11], the author defined the coboundary operator $\mathrm{d}_\rho:C^k_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}},A}(\mathfrak{g};V)\longrightarrow C^{k+1}_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}},A}(\mathfrak{g};V)$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}_\rho f(x_1,\cdots,x_{k+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1}(-1)^{i+1}\rho(\phi^k_{\mathfrak{g}}(x_i))(f(x_1,\cdots,\widehat{x_i},\cdots,x_{k+1}))\\ &\quad+\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j}f([x_i,x_j]_{\mathfrak{g}},\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x_1)\cdots,\widehat{x_i},\cdots,\widehat{x_j},\cdots,\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x_{k+1})).\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

The equality $\mathrm{d}^2_\rho=0$ was proved in [11]. Thus, we can obtain the cohomology of hom-Lie algebras.

Associated to the trivial representation, the set of k-cochains on $\mathfrak{g}$, which we denote by $C^k(\mathfrak{g})$, is the set of skew-symmetric k-linear maps from $\mathfrak{g}\times\cdots\times\mathfrak{g}$ to $\mathbb{R}$, i.e. $C^k(\mathfrak{g})=\wedge^k\mathfrak{g}^*$. The set of k-hom-cochains is given by

$$C^k_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathfrak{g})=\{f\in\wedge^k\mathfrak{g}^*|f\circ\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}=f\}.$$

The corresponding coboundary operator $\mathrm{d}_T:C^k_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathfrak{g})\longrightarrow C^{k+1}_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathfrak{g})$ is given by

$$\mathrm{d}_T f(x_1,\cdots,x_{k+1})=\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j}f([x_i,x_j]_{\mathfrak{g}},\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x_1),\cdots,\widehat{x_i},\cdots,\widehat{x_j},\cdots,\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x_{k+1})).$$

3 Matched pairs, hom-Lie bialgebras, and Manin triples

Let $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}},\phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $(\mathfrak{g}',[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}'},\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'})$ be two hom-Lie algebras. Let $\rho:\mathfrak{g}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}')$ and $\rho':\mathfrak{g}'\longrightarrow\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ be two linear maps. On the direct sum of the underlying vector spaces, $\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}'$, define $\phi_d:\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}'\longrightarrow\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}'$ by

$$\phi_d(x,x')=(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x),\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'}(x')),\tag{9}$$

and define a skew-symmetric bilinear map $[\cdot,\cdot]_d:\wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}')\longrightarrow\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}'$ by

$$[(x,x'),(y,y')]_d=\big([x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}}-\rho'(y')(x)+\rho'(x')(y),[x',y']_{\mathfrak{g}'}+\rho(x)(y')-\rho(y)(x')\big).\tag{10}$$

Theorem 3.1. *With the above notations, $(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}',[\cdot,\cdot]_d,\phi_d)$ is a hom-Lie algebra if and only if ρ and ρ' are representations and*

$$\begin{aligned}\rho'(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'}(x'))[x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}} &= [\rho'(x')(x),\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}+[\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x),\rho'(x')(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}\\ &\quad+\rho'(\rho(y)(x'))(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x))-\rho'(\rho(x)(x'))(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)), &(11)\\ \rho(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x))[x',y']_{\mathfrak{g}'} &= [\rho(x)(x'),\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'}(y')]_{\mathfrak{g}'}+[\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'}(x'),\rho(x)(y')]_{\mathfrak{g}'}\\ &\quad+\rho(\rho'(y')(x))(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'}(x'))-\rho(\rho'(x')(x))(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'}(y')). &(12)\end{aligned}$$

Proof. If $(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}',[\cdot,\cdot]_d,\phi_d)$ is a hom-Lie algebra, by the fact that ϕ_d is an algebra homomorphism with respect to $[\cdot,\cdot]_d$, we have

$$\phi_d[x,x']_d=[\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x),\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'}(x')]_d,$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'}\rho(x)(x') &= \rho(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x))(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'}(x')), &(13)\\ \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}\rho'(x')(x) &= \rho'(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'}(x'))(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)). &(14)\end{aligned}$$

Computing the hom-Jacobi identity for $x,y\in\mathfrak{g}$ and $x'\in\mathfrak{g}'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&[[x,y]_d,\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'}(x')]_d+[[y,x']_d,\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)]_d+[[x',x]_d,\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)]_d\\ =\ &\rho([x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}})(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'}(x'))-\rho'(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'}(x'))([x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}})+[\rho(y)(x')-\rho'(x')(y),\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)]_d\\ &+[\rho'(x')(x)-\rho(x)(x'),\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)]_d\\ =\ &\rho([x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}})(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'}(x'))-\rho'(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'}(x'))([x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}})+\rho'(\rho(y)(x'))(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x))-\rho(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x))\rho(y)(x')\\ &-[\rho'(x')(y),\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)]_{\mathfrak{g}}+[\rho'(x')(x),\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}-\rho'(\rho(x)(x'))(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y))+\rho(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y))\rho(x)(x')\\ =\ &0,\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\rho([x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}})\circ\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'} &= \rho(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x))\rho(y)-\rho(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y))\rho(x), &(15)\\ \rho'(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'}(x'))([x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) &= \rho'(\rho(y)(x'))(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x))-[\rho'(x')(y),\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)]_{\mathfrak{g}}\\ &\quad+[\rho'(x')(x),\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}-\rho'(\rho(x)(x'))(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)). &(16)\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, computing the hom-Jacobi identity for $x\in\mathfrak{g}$ and $x',y'\in\mathfrak{g}'$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\rho'([x',y']_{\mathfrak{g}'})\circ\phi_{\mathfrak{g}} &= \rho'(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'}(x'))\rho'(y')-\rho'(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'}(y'))\rho'(x'), &(17)\\ \rho(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x))([x',y']_{\mathfrak{g}'}) &= \rho(\rho'(y')(x))(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'}(x'))-[\rho(x)(y'),\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'}(x')]_{\mathfrak{g}'}\\ &\quad+[\rho(x)(x'),\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'}(y')]_{\mathfrak{g}'}-\rho(\rho'(x')(x))(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'}(y')). &(18)\end{aligned}$$

By Eqs. (13) and (15), we deduce that ρ is a representation of the hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}},\phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ on $\mathfrak{g}'$ with respect to $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'}$. By Eqs. (14) and (17), we deduce that ρ' is a representation of the hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}',[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}'},\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'})$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Furthermore, Eqs. (16) and (18) are exactly Eqs. (11) and (12) respectively. This finishes the proof. ■

Definition 3.2. *A* **matched pair of hom-Lie algebras***, which we denote by* $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}';\rho,\rho')$*, consists of two hom-Lie algebras* $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}},\phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ *and* $(\mathfrak{g}',[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}'},\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'})$*, together with representations* $\rho:\mathfrak{g}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}')$ *and* $\rho':\mathfrak{g}'\longrightarrow\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ *with respect to* $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'}$ *and* $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ *respectively, such that the compatibility conditions* (11) *and* (12) *are satisfied.*

In the following, we concentrate on the case that $\mathfrak{g}'$ is $\mathfrak{g}^*$, the dual space of $\mathfrak{g}$, and $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'}=\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$, $\rho=\mathrm{ad}^*$, $\rho'=\mathfrak{ad}^*$, where $\mathfrak{ad}^*$ is the dual map of $\mathfrak{ad}$.

For a hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}},\phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ (resp. $(\mathfrak{g}^*,[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}^*},\phi_{\mathfrak{g}^*})$), let $\Delta^*:\mathfrak{g}^*\longrightarrow\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}^*$ (resp. $\Delta:\mathfrak{g}\longrightarrow\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}$) be the dual map of $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}:\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{g}$ (resp. $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}:\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}^*\longrightarrow\mathfrak{g}^*$), i.e.

$$\langle\Delta^*(\xi),x\wedge y\rangle=\langle\xi,[x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}}\rangle,\quad\langle\Delta(x),\xi\wedge\eta\rangle=\langle x,[\xi,\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\rangle.$$

Definition 3.3. *A pair of admissible hom-Lie algebras* $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}},\phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ *and* $(\mathfrak{g}^*,[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}^*},\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)$ *is called a* **hom-Lie bialgebra** *if*

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Delta[x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}},\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi)\wedge\eta\rangle&=\langle\mathrm{ad}_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)}\Delta(y),\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi)\wedge\eta\rangle-\langle\mathrm{ad}_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)}\Delta(x),\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi)\wedge\eta\rangle,&(19)\\\langle\Delta^*[\xi,\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*},\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)\wedge y\rangle&=\langle\mathfrak{ad}_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi)}\Delta^*(\eta),\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)\wedge y\rangle-\langle\mathfrak{ad}_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\eta)}\Delta^*(\xi),\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)\wedge y\rangle.&(20)\end{aligned}$$

Usually we denote a hom-Lie bialgebra simply by $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^*)$.

Remark 3.4. *The notion of a hom-Lie bialgebra has already appeared in some references, e.g. [13], where* Δ *is a 1-cocycle, i.e.*

$$\Delta[x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathrm{ad}_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)}\Delta(y)-\mathrm{ad}_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)}\Delta(x).\tag{21}$$

If $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ *is not invertible, this condition is not the same as the condition in Definition 3.3. For example, if* $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}=0$*, then for any skew-symmetric bilinear map* $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}$*,* $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}},0)$ *is a hom-Lie algebra. Any pair of hom-Lie algebras* $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}},0)$ *and* $(\mathfrak{g}^*,[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}^*},0)$ *is a hom-Lie bialgebra (no restriction on* $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$*) in the sense of Definition 3.3. However, if* $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}=0$*, Eq.* (21) *implies that* $\Delta|_{[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]_{\mathfrak{g}}}=0$*, i.e. the cobracket* $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ *must satisfy* $[\xi,\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}([x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}})=0$.

Theorem 3.5. *A pair of admissible hom-Lie algebras* $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}},\phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ *and* $(\mathfrak{g}^*,[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}^*,\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)$ *is a hom-Lie bialgebra if and only if* $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}},\phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ *and* $(\mathfrak{g}^*,[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}^*},\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)$ *is a matched pair of hom-Lie algebras, i.e.* $(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*,[\cdot,\cdot]_d,\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}\oplus\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)$ *is a hom-Lie algebra, where* $[\cdot,\cdot]_d$ *is given by Eq.* (10)*, in which* $\rho=\mathrm{ad}^*$ *and* $\rho'=\mathfrak{ad}^*$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, two admissible hom-Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}},\phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $(\mathfrak{g}^*,[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}^*},\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)$ is a matched pair of hom-Lie algebras if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{ad}^*_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi)}[x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}}&=[\mathfrak{ad}^*_{\xi}x,\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}+[\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x),\mathfrak{ad}^*_{\xi}y]_{\mathfrak{g}}+\mathfrak{ad}^*_{\mathrm{ad}^*_y\xi}\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)-\mathfrak{ad}^*_{\mathrm{ad}^*_x\xi}\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y),&(22)\\\mathrm{ad}^*_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)}[\xi,\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}&=[\mathrm{ad}^*_x\xi,\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\eta)]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}+[\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi),\mathrm{ad}^*_x\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}+\mathrm{ad}^*_{\mathfrak{ad}^*_{\eta}x}\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi)-\mathrm{ad}^*_{\mathfrak{ad}^*_{\xi}x}\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\eta).&(23)\end{aligned}$$

By Eq. (22), we get

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
0 &=& \langle -\mathfrak{ad}^*_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi)}[x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [\mathfrak{ad}^*_\xi x, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x), \mathfrak{ad}^*_\xi y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mathfrak{ad}^*_{\mathrm{ad}^*_y\xi}\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x) - \mathfrak{ad}^*_{\mathrm{ad}^*_x\xi}\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y), \eta\rangle \\
&=& \langle [x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, [\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi),\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\rangle - \langle \mathrm{ad}_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)}\mathfrak{ad}^*_\xi x, \eta\rangle + \langle \mathrm{ad}_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)}\mathfrak{ad}^*_\xi y, \eta\rangle \\
&& -\langle \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x), [\mathrm{ad}^*_y\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\rangle + \langle \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y), [\mathrm{ad}^*_x\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\rangle \\
&=& \langle [x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, [\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi),\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\rangle - \langle x, [\xi, \mathrm{ad}^*_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)}\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\rangle + \langle y, [\xi, \mathrm{ad}^*_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)}\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\rangle \\
&& -\langle x, [\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*\mathrm{ad}^*_y\xi, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\rangle + \langle y, [\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*\mathrm{ad}^*_x\xi, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\rangle \\
&=& \langle [x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, [\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi),\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\rangle - \langle x, [(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)^2(\xi), \mathrm{ad}^*_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)}\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\rangle + \langle y, [(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)^2(\xi), \mathrm{ad}^*_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)}\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\rangle \quad \textit{by Lemma } 2.9 \\
&& -\langle x, [\mathrm{ad}^*_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)}\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi), \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\rangle + \langle y, [\mathrm{ad}^*_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)}\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi), \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\rangle \\
&=& \langle \Delta[x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi)\wedge\eta\rangle - \langle \Delta x, (\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)^2(\xi)\wedge \mathrm{ad}^*_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)}\eta\rangle + \langle \Delta y, (\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)^2(\xi)\wedge \mathrm{ad}^*_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)}\eta\rangle \\
&& -\langle \Delta x, \mathrm{ad}^*_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)}\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi)\wedge\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*\eta\rangle + \langle \Delta y, \mathrm{ad}^*_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)}\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi)\wedge\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*\eta\rangle \\
&=& \langle \Delta[x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi)\wedge\eta\rangle - \langle \Delta x, \mathrm{ad}^*_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)}(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi)\wedge\eta)\rangle + \langle \Delta y, \mathrm{ad}^*_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)}(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi)\wedge\eta)\rangle \\
&=& \langle \Delta[x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi)\wedge\eta\rangle + \langle \mathrm{ad}_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)}\Delta x, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi)\wedge\eta\rangle - \langle \mathrm{ad}_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)}\Delta y, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi)\wedge\eta\rangle,
\end{array}$$

which is exactly Eq. (19). Similarly, we could deduce that Eq. (23) is equivalent to Eq. (20). This finishes the proof. ■

Definition 3.6. *A* **Manin triple of hom-Lie algebras** *is a triple of hom-Lie algebras* $(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}')$ *together with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form* $S(\cdot,\cdot)$ *on* $\mathfrak{k}$ *such that*

- $S(\cdot,\cdot)$ *is invariant, i.e. for any* $x,y,z\in\mathfrak{k}$, *we have*

$$S([x,y]_{\mathfrak{k}},z) = S(x,[y,z]_{\mathfrak{k}}); \tag{24}$$

$$S(\phi_{\mathfrak{k}}(x),y) = S(x,\phi_{\mathfrak{k}}(y)). \tag{25}$$

- $\mathfrak{g}$ *and* $\mathfrak{g}'$ *are isotropic hom-Lie sub-algebras of* $\mathfrak{k}$, *such that* $\mathfrak{k}=\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}'$ *as vector spaces.*

Actually, $(\mathfrak{k},S)$ is a quadratic hom-Lie algebra, see [2] for more details. A **Lagrangian hom-subalgebra** of a quadratic hom-Lie algebra is defined to be a maximal isotropic hom-Lie subalgebra.

Let $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^*)$ be a hom-Lie bialgebra, i.e. $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^*$ are admissible hom-Lie algebras such that Eqs. (19) and (20) are satisfied. By Theorem 3.5, we know that $(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}\oplus\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)$ is a hom-Lie algebra, where $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*}$ is given by

$$[x+\xi, y+\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*} = [x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [\xi,\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*} + \mathrm{ad}^*_x\eta - \mathrm{ad}^*_y\xi + \mathfrak{ad}^*_\xi y - \mathfrak{ad}^*_\eta x. \tag{26}$$

Furthermore, there is an obvious symmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*$:

$$S(x+\xi, y+\eta) = \langle x,\eta\rangle + \langle y,\xi\rangle. \tag{27}$$

It is obvious that Eqs. (24) and (25) are satisfied, i.e. the bilinear form defined by Eq. (27) is invariant. Thus, we have

Proposition 3.7. *Let* $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^*)$ *be a hom-Lie bialgebra. Then* $(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*;\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^*)$ *is a Manin triple of hom-Lie algebras.*

Conversely, if $(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*;\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is a Manin triple of hom-Lie algebras with the invariant bilinear form S given by Eq. (27), then for any $x,y\in\mathfrak{g}$ and $\xi,\eta\in\mathfrak{g}^*$, due to the invariance of S, we have

$$S(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x),\xi)=S(x,\phi_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\xi))=\langle\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x),\xi\rangle=\langle x,\phi_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\xi)\rangle,$$

which implies that $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}^*}=\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$, and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} S([x,\xi]_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*},y) &=& S([y,x]_{\mathfrak{g}},\xi)=\langle-\mathrm{ad}_x y,\xi\rangle=\langle\mathrm{ad}_x^*\xi,y\rangle,\\ S([x,\xi]_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*},\eta) &=& S(x,[\xi,\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*})=\langle\mathfrak{ad}_\xi\eta,x\rangle=\langle-\mathfrak{ad}_\xi^*x,\eta\rangle,\end{array}$$

which implies that

$$[x,\xi]_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*}=\mathrm{ad}_x^*\xi-\mathfrak{ad}_\xi^*x,$$

that is, the hom-Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*$ is given by Eq. (26). Therefore, $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^*;\mathrm{ad}^*,\mathfrak{ad}^*)$ is a matched pair of hom-Lie algebras and hence $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is a hom-Lie bialgebra. Note that we deduce naturally that both $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^*$ are admissible hom-Lie algebras.

Summarizing the above study, Theorem 3.5 and Proposition 3.7, we have the following conclusion.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}},\phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $(\mathfrak{g}^*,[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}^*},\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)$ be two admissible hom-Lie algebras. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^*)$ *is a hom-Lie bialgebra.*

(ii) $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^*;\mathrm{ad}^*,\mathfrak{ad}^*)$ *is a matched pair of hom-Lie algebras.*

(iii) $(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*;\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^*)$ *is a Manin triple of hom-Lie algebras with the invariant bilinear form* (27).

The bilinear form $S(\cdot,\cdot)$ given by Eq. (27) is called **the standard bilinear form** on $\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*$, and the hom-Lie bracket given by Eq. (26) is called **the standard hom-Lie bracket** on $\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*$. The Manin triple $(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*;\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is called **the standard Manin triple**.

Proposition 3.9. *Any Manin triple of hom-Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}')$ is isomorphic to the standard Manin triple $(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*;\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^*)$.*

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}'$ are isotropic under the nondegenerate bilinear form $S(\cdot,\cdot)$ on $\mathfrak{k}=\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}'$, we deduce that $\mathfrak{g}'$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}^*$. Thus, $\mathfrak{k}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*$ as vector spaces. Transfer the nondegenerate bilinear form $S(\cdot,\cdot)$ to $\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*$, we obtain the standard bilinear form (27).

Denote by $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}^*}:\mathfrak{g}^*\longrightarrow\mathfrak{g}^*$ the induced map from $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}'}=\phi_{\mathfrak{k}}|_{\mathfrak{g}'}$. Due to the invariance of S, we show that $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}^*}=\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$.

It is straightforward to check that the hom-Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{k}$ can be transferred into $\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*$, which is the standard hom-Lie bracket (26). Thus, $(\mathfrak{k};\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}')$ is isomorphic to the standard Manin triple $(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*;\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^*)$. ■

4 r-matrices

For any $r\in\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}$, the induced skew-symmetric linear map $r^\sharp:\mathfrak{g}^*\longrightarrow\mathfrak{g}$ is defined by

$$\langle r^\sharp(\xi),\eta\rangle=\langle r,\xi\wedge\eta\rangle.$$

Definition 4.1. *A* **coboundary hom-Lie bialgebra** *is a hom-Lie bialgebra* $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^*)$ *such that*

$$\langle\Delta(x),\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi)\wedge\eta\rangle=\langle[x,r]_{\mathfrak{g}},\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi)\wedge\eta\rangle, \tag{28}$$

where $r\in\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}$ *satisfies*

$$\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}\circ r^\sharp\circ\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*=r^\sharp. \tag{29}$$

A **triangular hom-Lie bialgebra** *is a coboundary hom-Lie bialgebra, in which r is a solution of the following* **classical hom-Yang-Baxter equation**

$$[r,r]_{\mathfrak{g}}=0. \tag{30}$$

Remark 4.2. *It is easy to see that Eq.* (29) *is equivalent to that* $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes 2}r=r$*, which means that r is a* 0*-cochain, see [11] for more details. It is also easy to show that if* $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ *is orthogonal as a linear transformation on* $\mathfrak{g}$*, then Eq.* (29) *holds if and only if* $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}\circ r^\sharp=r^\sharp\circ\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$.

Proposition 4.3. *With the above notations, let* $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^*)$ *be a coboundary hom-Lie bialgebra. Then for any* $\xi\in\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)$ *and* $\eta\in\mathfrak{g}^*$*, we have*

$$[\xi,\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}=\mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi)}\eta-\mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\eta)}\xi. \tag{31}$$

Proof. To be simple, assume that $r=X\wedge Y$ is a monomial. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle x,[\xi,\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\rangle\\
=\;&\langle\Delta(x),\xi\wedge\eta\rangle=\langle[x,r]_{\mathfrak{g}},\xi\wedge\eta\rangle\\
=\;&\langle[x,X]_{\mathfrak{g}}\wedge\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(Y)+\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)\wedge[x,Y]_{\mathfrak{g}},\xi\wedge\eta\rangle\\
=\;&\langle[x,X]_{\mathfrak{g}},\xi\rangle\langle\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(Y),\eta\rangle-\langle[x,X]_{\mathfrak{g}},\eta\rangle\langle\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(Y),\xi\rangle+\langle[x,Y]_{\mathfrak{g}},\eta\rangle\langle\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(X),\xi\rangle-\langle[x,Y]_{\mathfrak{g}},\xi\rangle\langle\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(X),\eta\rangle\\
=\;&-\langle[x,\langle\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(Y),\xi\rangle X]_{\mathfrak{g}},\eta\rangle+\langle[x,\langle\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(X),\xi\rangle Y]_{\mathfrak{g}},\eta\rangle+\langle[x,\langle\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(Y),\eta\rangle X]_{\mathfrak{g}},\xi\rangle-\langle[x,\langle\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(X),\eta\rangle Y]_{\mathfrak{g}},\xi\rangle\\
=\;&-\langle[x,\langle Y,\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi)\rangle X]_{\mathfrak{g}},\eta\rangle+\langle[x,\langle X,\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi)\rangle Y]_{\mathfrak{g}},\eta\rangle+\langle[x,\langle Y,\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\eta)\rangle X]_{\mathfrak{g}},\xi\rangle-\langle[x,\langle X,\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\eta)\rangle Y]_{\mathfrak{g}},\xi\rangle\\
=\;&\langle[x,r^\sharp\circ\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi)]_{\mathfrak{g}},\eta\rangle-\langle[x,r^\sharp\circ\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\eta)]_{\mathfrak{g}},\xi\rangle\\
=\;&\langle x,\mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi)}\eta-\mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\eta)}\xi\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies the conclusion. ■

Even though formula (31) does not hold for any $\xi,\eta\in\mathfrak{g}^*$, but we have

Corollary 4.4. *With the above notations, let* $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^*)$ *be a coboundary hom-Lie bialgebra. Then for any* $\xi,\eta\in\mathfrak{g}^*$*, we have*

$$\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*[\xi,\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}=\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*\big(\mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi)}\eta-\mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\eta)}\xi\big). \tag{32}$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.3, we have

$$\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*[\xi,\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}=[\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi),\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\eta)]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}=\mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)^2(\xi)}\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\eta)-\mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)^2(\eta)}\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi).$$

In the following we prove that

$$\mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)^2(\xi)}\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\eta)=\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*\mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\xi)}\eta. \tag{33}$$

For any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ(\phi^*_\mathfrak{g})^2(\xi)}\phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\eta), x\rangle &= -\langle \phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\eta), [r^\sharp \circ (\phi^*_\mathfrak{g})^2(\xi), x]_\mathfrak{g}\rangle \\
&= -\langle \eta, [\phi_\mathfrak{g} \circ r^\sharp \circ (\phi^*_\mathfrak{g})^2(\xi), \phi_\mathfrak{g}(x)]_\mathfrak{g}\rangle \\
&= -\langle \eta, [r^\sharp \circ \phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\xi), \phi_\mathfrak{g}(x)]_\mathfrak{g}\rangle \qquad \text{by Eq. (29)}, \\
&= -\langle \eta, [\phi^2_\mathfrak{g} \circ r^\sharp \circ \phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\xi), \phi_\mathfrak{g}(x)]_\mathfrak{g}\rangle \qquad \text{by Eq. (6)}, \\
&= -\langle \phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\eta), [\phi_\mathfrak{g} \circ r^\sharp \circ \phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\xi), x]_\mathfrak{g}\rangle \\
&= \langle \mathrm{ad}^*_{\phi_\mathfrak{g}\circ r^\sharp\circ\phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\xi)}\phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\eta), x\rangle \\
&= \langle \phi^*_\mathfrak{g}\mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ\phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\xi)}\eta, x\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds since $\mathfrak{g}$ is admissible, i.e. ad^* is a representation. Then we obtain Eq. (33). The proof is finished. ■

Corollary 4.5. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$ be a coboundary hom-Lie bialgebra. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is regular, then we have*

$$\mathrm{ad}_{r^\sharp\circ\phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\xi)} = \mathrm{ad}_{\phi_\mathfrak{g}\circ r^\sharp(\xi)}, \tag{34}$$

i.e. the image of $r^\sharp \circ \phi^*_\mathfrak{g} - \phi_\mathfrak{g} \circ r^\sharp$ belongs to the center of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. We have proved that

$$\mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ(\phi^*_\mathfrak{g})^2(\xi)}\phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\eta) = \mathrm{ad}^*_{\phi_\mathfrak{g}\circ r^\sharp\circ\phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\xi)}\phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\eta).$$

Thus, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is regular, we have

$$\mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ\phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\xi)} = \mathrm{ad}^*_{\phi_\mathfrak{g}\circ r^\sharp(\xi)},$$

which implies the conclusion. ■

Lemma 4.6. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a regular admissible hom-Lie algebra. If $r \in \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}$ satisfies Eq. (29) and $[\cdot,\cdot]^*_\mathfrak{g} : \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}^* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is given by Eq. (31), then we have*

$$[r^\sharp \circ \phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\xi), r^\sharp \circ \phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\eta)]_\mathfrak{g} - r^\sharp \circ \phi^*_\mathfrak{g}[\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = \frac{1}{2}[r, r]_\mathfrak{g}(\xi, \eta). \tag{35}$$

Proof. For any $\gamma \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, by Corollary 4.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle r^\sharp \circ \phi^*_\mathfrak{g}[\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \gamma\rangle &= \langle \mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ\phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\eta)}\xi - \mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ\phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\xi)}\eta, \phi_\mathfrak{g} \circ r^\sharp(\gamma)\rangle \\
&= \langle \eta, [r^\sharp \circ \phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\xi), \phi_\mathfrak{g} \circ r^\sharp(\gamma)]_\mathfrak{g}\rangle - \langle \xi, [r^\sharp \circ \phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\eta), \phi_\mathfrak{g} \circ r^\sharp(\gamma)]_\mathfrak{g}\rangle \\
&= \langle \eta, [r^\sharp \circ \phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\xi), r^\sharp \circ \phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\gamma)]_\mathfrak{g}\rangle - \langle \xi, [r^\sharp \circ \phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\eta), r^\sharp \circ \phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\gamma)]_\mathfrak{g}\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Then it is straightforward to deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2}[r, r]_\mathfrak{g}(\xi, \eta, \gamma) = -\langle \eta, [r^\sharp\circ\phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\xi), r^\sharp\circ\phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\gamma)]_\mathfrak{g}\rangle + \langle \xi, [r^\sharp\circ\phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\eta), r^\sharp\circ\phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\gamma)]_\mathfrak{g}\rangle + \langle \gamma, [r^\sharp\circ\phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\xi), r^\sharp\circ\phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\eta)]_\mathfrak{g}\rangle.$$

We leave it to readers. The proof is completed. ■

For a coboundary hom-Lie bialgebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is regular, Eq. (28) reduces to

$$\Delta(x) = [x, r]_\mathfrak{g}, \tag{36}$$

and for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, $[\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ is given by Eq. (31).

Theorem 4.7. *Let* $\mathfrak{g}$ *be a regular admissible hom-Lie algebra. Define a skew-symmetric bilinear map* $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ *by Eq.* (31)*, for some* $r \in \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}$ *satisfying Eq.* (29)*. Then* $(\mathfrak{g}^*, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}*})$ *is a hom-Lie algebra if and only if*

$$\mathrm{ad}_x[r,r]_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0. \tag{37}$$

Under this condition, $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^*)$ *is a coboundary hom-Lie bialgebra.*

Proof. The bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ is determined by the cobracket $\Delta(x) = [x, r]_{\mathfrak{g}}$. The condition $\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is an algebra homomorphism with respect to $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ is equivalent to $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes 2}\Delta(x) = \Delta(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x))$. If r satisfies Eq. (29), equivalently, $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes 2} r = r$, then we have

$$\Delta(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)) = [\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x), r]_{\mathfrak{g}} = [\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x), \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes 2} r]_{\mathfrak{g}} = \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes 2}[x, r]_{\mathfrak{g}} = \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes 2}\Delta(x).$$

If $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ satisfies the hom-Jacobi identity, we have

$$\begin{array}{rl} & J(\xi,\eta,\gamma) = [\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi), [\eta,\gamma]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}]_{\mathfrak{g}^*} + [\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(\eta), [\gamma,\xi]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}]_{\mathfrak{g}^*} + [\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(\gamma), [\xi,\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}]_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \\ = & \mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ(\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}})^2(\xi)}(\mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(\eta)}\gamma - \mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(\gamma)}\eta) - \mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}[\eta,\gamma]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}}\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi) + c.p. \\ = & \mathrm{ad}^*_{\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}\circ r^\sharp\circ\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi)}(\mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(\eta)}\gamma - \mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(\gamma)}\eta) - \mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}[\eta,\gamma]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}}\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi) + c.p. \quad \text{by Corollary 4.5} \\ = & \mathrm{ad}^*_{[r^\sharp\circ\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi), r^\sharp\circ\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(\eta)]_{\mathfrak{g}}}\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(\gamma) - \mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}[\xi,\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}}\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(\gamma) + c.p. \\ = & \dfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{ad}^*_{[r,r]_{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi,\eta)}\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(\gamma) + c.p. \qquad \text{by Lemma 4.6.} \end{array}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \langle 2J(\xi,\eta,\gamma), x\rangle & = & -\langle \phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(\gamma), [[r,r]_{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi,\eta), x]_{\mathfrak{g}}\rangle + c.p. = -\langle \mathrm{ad}^*_x\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(\gamma), [r,r]_{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi,\eta)\rangle + c.p. \\ & = & -[r,r]_{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi,\eta,\mathrm{ad}^*_x\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(\gamma)) + c.p. \\ & = & -[r,r]_{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi,\eta,\mathrm{ad}^*_x{\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{-1}(\gamma)) + c.p. \quad \text{by Corollary 2.8} \\ & = & \langle -[r,r]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathrm{ad}^*_x({\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{-1}(\xi)\wedge{\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{-1}(\eta)\wedge{\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{-1}(\gamma))\rangle \\ & = & \langle \mathrm{ad}_x[r,r]_{\mathfrak{g}}, {\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{-1}(\xi)\wedge{\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{-1}(\eta)\wedge{\phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{-1}(\gamma)\rangle, \end{array}$$

which implies that under the condition (29), $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \phi^*_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a hom-Lie algebra if and only if Eq. (37) is satisfied.

At last, if $\Delta(x) = [x, r]_{\mathfrak{g}}$, then the compatibility conditions in Definition 3.3 hold naturally. The proof is completed. ■

Remark 4.8. *A similar result was also given in [13] (see Theorem 4.5 therein). Here we give a slightly different approach.*

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a regular admissible hom-Lie algebra and $r \in \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}$ be invertible (that is, $r^\sharp$ is invertible). Define $B \in \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}^*$ by

$$B(x,y) = \langle {r^\sharp}^{-1}(x), y\rangle.$$

Proposition 4.9. *With the above notations, r satisfies the classical hom-Yang-Baxter equation* (30) *if and only if*

$$B(x, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}[y,z]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + B(z, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}([x,x]_{\mathfrak{g}})) + B(y, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}([z,x]_{\mathfrak{g}})) = 0. \tag{38}$$

Proof. For any $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$, set $x = r^\sharp(\xi), y = r^\sharp(\eta), z = r^\sharp(\gamma)$. By Corollary 4.5 and Lemma 4.6, if r satisfies the classical hom-Yang-Baxter equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B(x, \phi_\mathfrak{g}[y,z]_\mathfrak{g}) &= \langle \xi, \phi_\mathfrak{g}[r^\sharp(\eta), r^\sharp(\gamma)]_\mathfrak{g}\rangle = \langle \xi, [r^\sharp \circ \phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\eta), r^\sharp \circ \phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\gamma)]_\mathfrak{g}\rangle \\ &= \langle \xi, r^\sharp \circ \phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ\phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\eta)}\gamma - \mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ\phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\gamma)}\eta)\rangle \\ &= \langle -\phi_\mathfrak{g} \circ r^\sharp(\xi), \mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ\phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\eta)}\gamma - \mathrm{ad}^*_{r^\sharp\circ\phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\gamma)}\eta\rangle \\ &= \langle \gamma, [\phi_\mathfrak{g} \circ r^\sharp(\eta), \phi_\mathfrak{g} \circ r^\sharp(\xi)]_\mathfrak{g}\rangle - \langle \eta, [\phi_\mathfrak{g} \circ r^\sharp(\gamma), \phi_\mathfrak{g} \circ r^\sharp(\xi)]_\mathfrak{g}\rangle \\ &= B(z, \phi_\mathfrak{g}([y,x]_\mathfrak{g})) - B(y, \phi_\mathfrak{g}([z,x]_\mathfrak{g})). \end{aligned}$$

The converse part can be obtained similarly. ■

Remark 4.10. If $\phi_\mathfrak{g}$ is orthogonal, or the center of $\mathfrak{g}$ is zero, by Corollary 4.5, we have $r^\sharp \circ \phi_\mathfrak{g}^* = \phi_\mathfrak{g} \circ r^\sharp$. Thus, we have

$$B(\phi_\mathfrak{g}(x), y) = \langle {r^\sharp}^{-1} \circ \phi_\mathfrak{g}(x), y\rangle = \langle \phi_\mathfrak{g}^* \circ {r^\sharp}^{-1}(x), y\rangle = \langle {r^\sharp}^{-1}(x), \phi_\mathfrak{g}(y)\rangle = B(x, \phi_\mathfrak{g}(y)).$$

Therefore Eq. (38) can be rewritten as

$$B(\phi_\mathfrak{g}(x), [y,z]_\mathfrak{g}) + B(\phi_\mathfrak{g}(y), [z,x]_\mathfrak{g}) + B(\phi_\mathfrak{g}(z), [x,y]_\mathfrak{g}) = 0, \tag{39}$$

which means that B is a **2-cocycle** on $\mathfrak{g}$ ([11]).

At the end of this section, we consider Lagrangian hom-subalgebras of a hom-Lie bialgebra.

Theorem 4.11. *Let* $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$ *be a regular hom-Lie bialgebra and* $R \in \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}$*, the graph of* $R^\sharp \circ \phi_\mathfrak{g}^*$*, which we denote by* $\mathcal{G}_R$*, is a Lagrangian hom-subalgebra of* $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*$ *if* $R^\sharp \circ \phi_\mathfrak{g}^* = \phi_\mathfrak{g} \circ R^\sharp$ *and the following Maurer-Cartan type equation is satisfied:*

$$d_T^* R + \frac{1}{2}[R,R]_\mathfrak{g} = 0, \tag{40}$$

where d_T^* *is the coboundary operator for the hom-Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{g}^*$ *associated to the trivial representation.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $R^\sharp \circ \phi_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = \phi_\mathfrak{g} \circ R^\sharp$ guarantees that $(\phi_\mathfrak{g} \oplus \phi_{\mathfrak{g}^*})\mathcal{G}_R \subset \mathcal{G}_R$, and $\mathcal{G}_R$ is maximal isotropic. Next we show that $\mathcal{G}_R$ is closed under the bracket operation $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*}$ given by Eq. (26) if and only if Eq. (40) holds. First we have

$$\begin{aligned} [R^\sharp \circ \phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\xi) + \xi, R^\sharp \circ \phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\eta) + \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*} &= [R^\sharp \circ \phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\xi), R^\sharp \circ \phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\eta)]_\mathfrak{g} - \mathfrak{ad}^*_\eta R^\sharp \circ \phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\xi) + \mathfrak{ad}^*_\xi R^\sharp \circ \phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\eta) \\ &\quad + [\xi,\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*} + \mathrm{ad}^*_{R^\sharp\circ\phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\xi)}\eta - \mathrm{ad}^*_{R^\sharp\circ\phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\eta)}\xi. \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{G}_R$ is closed if and only if

$$[R^\sharp\circ\phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\xi), R^\sharp\circ\phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\eta)]_\mathfrak{g} - \mathfrak{ad}^*_\eta R^\sharp\circ\phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\xi) + \mathfrak{ad}^*_\xi R^\sharp\circ\phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\eta) = R^\sharp\circ\phi_\mathfrak{g}^*\Big([\xi,\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*} + \mathrm{ad}^*_{R^\sharp\circ\phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\xi)}\eta - \mathrm{ad}^*_{R^\sharp\circ\phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\eta)}\xi\Big).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &[R^\sharp \circ \phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\xi), R^\sharp \circ \phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\eta)]_\mathfrak{g} - R^\sharp \circ \phi_\mathfrak{g}^*\big(\mathrm{ad}^*_{R^\sharp\circ\phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\xi)}\eta - \mathrm{ad}^*_{R^\sharp\circ\phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\eta)}\xi\big) \\ =\ &[R^\sharp \circ \phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\xi), R^\sharp \circ \phi_\mathfrak{g}^*(\eta)]_\mathfrak{g} - R^\sharp \circ \phi_\mathfrak{g}^*([\xi,\eta]_R) \\ =\ &\frac{1}{2}[R,R]_\mathfrak{g}(\xi,\eta), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rl} & \langle -\mathfrak{ad}^*_\eta R^\sharp \circ \phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\xi) + \mathfrak{ad}^*_\xi R^\sharp \circ \phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\eta) - R^\sharp \circ \phi^*_\mathfrak{g}[\xi,\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \gamma\rangle \\ = & R(\phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\xi), [\eta,\gamma]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}) - R(\phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\eta), [\xi,\gamma]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}) - R([\xi,\eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \phi^*_\mathfrak{g}(\gamma)) \\ = & d^*_T R(\xi,\eta,\gamma). \end{array}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{G}_R$ is closed if and only if Eq. (40) holds. ■

5 Interpretation of r-matrices in terms of operator forms

In this section, we give a further interpretation of the classical hom-Yang-Baxter equation.

Definition 5.1. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_\mathfrak{g}, \phi_\mathfrak{g})$ be a hom-Lie algebra and (V, A, ρ) be a representation. A linear map $T : V \to \mathfrak{g}$ is called an **$\mathcal{O}$-operator** associated to (V, A, ρ) if T satisfies

(i) $T \circ A = \phi_\mathfrak{g} \circ T$,

(ii) $[T(u), T(v)]_\mathfrak{g} = T(\rho(T(u))v - \rho(T(v)u)), \quad \forall\ u, v \in V.$

Recall that a notion of a hom-Nijenhuis operator for a hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_\mathfrak{g}, \phi_\mathfrak{g})$ was introduced in [11], which could give a trivial deformation. See [4] for more details about Nijenhuis operators for Lie algebras and their applications in nonlinear evolution equations. A **hom-Nijenhuis operator** is a linear map $N : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ satisfying $N \circ \phi_\mathfrak{g} = \phi_\mathfrak{g} \circ N$ and

$$[N(x), N(y)]_\mathfrak{g} = N\big([N(x), y]_\mathfrak{g} + [x, N(y)]_\mathfrak{g} - N[x, y]_\mathfrak{g}\big).$$

It is easy to show that

Lemma 5.2. *A linear map $T : V \to \mathfrak{g}$ is an $\mathcal{O}$-operator associated to (V, A, ρ) if and only if $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is a hom-Nijenhuis operator for the hom-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes_\rho V$.*

Example 5.3. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a hom-Lie algebra. A multiplicative **Rota-Baxter operator** (of weight zero) R on $\mathfrak{g}$ is an $\mathcal{O}$-operator associated to the adjoint representation, that is, R satisfies ([10])

$$[R(x), R(y)]_\mathfrak{g} = R([R(x), y]_\mathfrak{g} - [R(y), x]_\mathfrak{g}), \quad \forall\ x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Example 5.4. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a regular admissible hom-Lie algebra. Suppose that $r \in \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}$ satisfies Eq. (29). By Lemma 4.6, r satisfies the classical hom-Yang-Baxter equation (30) if and only if $r^\sharp \circ \phi^*_\mathfrak{g}$ is an $\mathcal{O}$-operator associated to the co-adjoint representation ad^*.

There is a class of Hom-algebra structures behind:

Definition 5.5. ([9, 16]) *A* **hom-left-symmetric algebra** *is a triple $(V, \cdot, \psi)$ consisting of a linear space V, a bilinear map $\cdot : V \otimes V \to V$ and an algebra homomorphism $\psi : V \to V$ satisfying*

$$(u \cdot v) \cdot \psi(w) - \psi(u) \cdot (v \cdot w) = (v \cdot u) \cdot \psi(w) - \psi(v) \cdot (u \cdot w), \quad \forall\ u, v, w \in V. \tag{41}$$

It is called **regular (involutive)***, if ψ is nondegenerate (satisfies $\psi^2 = \mathrm{Id}$).*

The following conclusion is obvious.

Proposition 5.6. *Let $(V, \cdot, \psi)$ be a hom-left-symmetric algebra.*

(i) *$(\mathfrak{g}(V), [\cdot,\cdot]_V, \psi)$ is a hom-Lie algebra, where $\mathfrak{g}(V) = V$ as a vector space, and the bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]_V$ is given by*

$$[u, v]_V = u \cdot v - v \cdot u.$$

We call $(\mathfrak{g}(V), [\cdot,\cdot]_V, \psi)$ the **commutator hom-Lie algebra**.

(ii) *Let $L : V \to \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be a linear map defined by $L(u)(v) = u \cdot v$ for any $u, v \in V$. Then (V, ψ, L) is a representation of the hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}(V), [\cdot,\cdot]_V, \psi)$ on V.*

Proposition 5.7. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot], \phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a hom-Lie algebra and (V, A, ρ) be a representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. Suppose that $T : V \to \mathfrak{g}$ is an $\mathcal{O}$-operator. Then there exists a hom-left-symmetric algebra structure $(V, \cdot, A)$ on V given by*

$$u \cdot v = \rho(T(u))v, \quad \forall\ u, v \in V. \tag{42}$$

Proof. First by $T \circ A = \phi_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ T$ and the fact that(V, A, ρ) is a representation, we have

$$A(u \cdot v) = A(\rho(T(u))v) = \rho(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(T(u)))A(v) = \rho(T(A(u))A(v) = A(u) \cdot A(v),$$

which implies that A is an algebra homomorphism. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (u \cdot v) \cdot A(w) - A(u) \cdot (v \cdot w) - (v \cdot u) \cdot A(w) + A(v) \cdot (u \cdot w) \\
= \;& \rho T(\rho(T(u))v)A(w) - \rho T(A(u))\rho(T(v))w - \rho T(\rho(T(v))u)A(w) + \rho T(A(v))\rho(T(u))w \\
= \;& \rho T\big(\rho(T(u))v - \rho(T(v))u\big)A(w) - \rho(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ T(u))\rho(T(v))w + \rho(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ T(v))\rho(T(u))w \\
= \;& \rho[T(u), T(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}}A(w) - \rho(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ T(u))\rho(T(v))w + \rho(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ T(v))\rho(T(u))w \\
= \;& 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds also by the fact that (V, A, ρ) is a representation. Therefore, $(V, \cdot, A)$ is a hom-left-symmetric algebra. ■

The following consequence is obvious.

Corollary 5.8. *With the above conditions, T is a homomorphism from the commutator hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}(V), [\cdot,\cdot]_V, A)$, given in Proposition 5.6, to $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Moreover, $T(V) = \{T(u) | u \in V\} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a hom-Lie subalgebra of $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ and there is an induced hom-left-symmetric algebra structure $(T(V), \cdot, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ on $T(V)$ given by*

$$T(u) \cdot T(v) = T(u \cdot v). \tag{43}$$

When we take the adjoint representation, we have the following conclusion.

Corollary 5.9. ([10][Theorem 4.1]) *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a hom-Lie algebra and R be a multiplicative Rota-Baxter operator (of weight zero). Then $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a hom-left-symmetric algebra, where the multiplication $\cdot$ is defined by $x \cdot y = [R(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}}$.*

Corollary 5.10. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a hom-Lie algebra. Then there is a hom-left-symmetric algebra structure $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ such that the commutator hom-Lie algebra structure is $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ if and only if there exists an invertible $\mathcal{O}$-operator T associated to a representation (V, A, ρ).*

Proof. Let T be an invertible $\mathcal{O}$-operator associated to a representation (V, A, ρ). By Proposition 5.7, there exists a hom-left-symmetric algebra structure on $T(V) = \mathfrak{g}$ given by

$$x \cdot y = T(\rho(x)T^{-1}(y)).$$

Moreover, we have

$$x \cdot y - y \cdot x = T(\rho(x)T^{-1}(y) - \rho(y)T^{-1}(x)) = [T(T^{-1})(x), T(T^{-1})(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} = [x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Conversely, the identity map $\mathrm{id} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is an invertible $\mathcal{O}$-operator associated to the representation $(\mathfrak{g}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}, L)$ given in Proposition 5.6. ■

Corollary 5.11. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a regular admissible hom-Lie algebra. Suppose that $B \in \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}^*$ is a nondegenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form satisfying $B(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x), y) = B(x, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y))$ and Eq. (38). Then there is a hom-left-symmetric algebra structure $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ given by*

$$B(x \cdot y, z) = -B({\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{-1}(y), [x, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}}), \tag{44}$$

such that the commutator hom-Lie algebra is $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$.

Proof. Let $r \in \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}$ be determined by $\langle {r^{\sharp}}^{-1}(x), y\rangle = B(x, y)$. By $B(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x), y) = B(x, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y))$, we have $r^{\sharp} \circ \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^* = \phi_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ r^{\sharp}$. Therefore by the proof of Proposition 4.9, $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ r^{\sharp}$ is an invertible $\mathcal{O}$-operator associated to the co-adjoint representation $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*, \mathrm{ad}^*)$. Hence by Corollary 5.10, $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a hom-left-symmetric algebra, where the multiplication $\cdot$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
B(x \cdot y, z) &= B(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ r^{\sharp}(\mathrm{ad}^*(x)({r^{\sharp}}^{-1} \circ {\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{-1})(y)), z) \ = \langle \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\mathrm{ad}^*(x)({r^{\sharp}}^{-1} \circ {\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{-1})(y)), z\rangle \\
&= \langle \mathrm{ad}^*(x)({r^{\sharp}}^{-1} \circ {\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{-1})(y), \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(z)\rangle = \langle {r^{\sharp}}^{-1} \circ {\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{-1}(y), -[x, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}}\rangle \\
&= -B({\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{-1}(y), [x, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}}),
\end{aligned}$$

such that the commutator hom-Lie algebra is $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$. ■

At the end of this section, we study a relationship between an $\mathcal{O}$-operator associated to an arbitrary admissible representation and the classical hom-Yang-Baxter equation, which leads to a construction of solutions of the classical hom-Yang-Baxter equation in terms of $\mathcal{O}$-operators and hom-left-symmetric algebras.

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a hom-Lie algebra and (V, A, ρ) be an admissible representation. Then we have the semidirect product hom-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes_{\rho^*} V^*$, and any linear map $T : V \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ can be view as an element $\overline{T} \in \otimes^2(\mathfrak{g} \oplus V^*)$ via

$$\overline{T}(\xi + u, \eta + v) = \langle T(u), \eta\rangle, \quad \forall\ \xi + u, \eta + v \in \mathfrak{g}^* \oplus V.$$

Let σ be the exchange operator acting on the tensor space, then $r \triangleq \overline{T} - \sigma(\overline{T})$ is skew-symmetric.

Let $\{v_1, \cdots, v_n\}$ be a basis of V and $\{v^1, \cdots, v^n\}$ be its dual basis.

Theorem 5.12. *Let $T : V \to \mathfrak{g}$ be a linear map satisfying $T \circ A = \phi_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ T$. Then $r = \overline{T} - \sigma(\overline{T})$ is a solution of the classical hom-Yang-Baxter equation in the hom-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes_{\rho^*} V^*$ if and only if $T \circ A$ is an $\mathcal{O}$-operator. Furthermore, if A is orthogonal, then we have $(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus A^*)^{\otimes 2} r = r$.*

Proof. It is obvious that $\overline{T}$ can be expressed by $\overline{T} = v^i \otimes T(v_i)$. Here the Einstein summation convention is used. Therefore, we can write $r = v^i \wedge T(v_i)$. By direct computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[r,r]_{\mathfrak{g}\ltimes V^*} &= [v^i \wedge T(v_i), v^j \wedge T(v_j)]_{\mathfrak{g}\ltimes V^*}\\
&= -[v^i, T(v_j)]_{\mathfrak{g}\ltimes V^*} \wedge \phi_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ T(v_i) \wedge A^*v^j - [T(v_i), v^j]_{\mathfrak{g}\ltimes V^*} \wedge A^*v^i \wedge \phi_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ T(v_j)\\
&\quad +[T(v_i), T(v_j)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \wedge A^*v^i \wedge A^*v^j\\
&= \langle \rho^*(T(v_j))(v^i), v_m\rangle v^m \wedge T\circ A(v_i) \wedge \langle A^*v^j, v_n\rangle v^n\\
&\quad -\langle \rho^*(T(v_i))(v^j), v_n\rangle v^n \wedge \langle A^*v^i, v_m\rangle v^m \wedge T\circ A(v_j)\\
&\quad +[T(v_i), T(v_j)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \wedge \langle A^*v^i, v_m\rangle v^m \wedge \langle A^*v^j, v_n\rangle v^n\\
&= -v^m \wedge \langle v^i, \rho(T(v_j))(v_m)\rangle \langle v^j, A(v_n)\rangle T\circ A(v_i) \wedge v^n\\
&\quad +v^n \wedge v^m \wedge \langle v^j, \rho(T(v_i))(v_n)\rangle \langle v^i, A(v_m)\rangle T\circ A(v_j)\\
&\quad +\langle v^i, A(v_m)\rangle \langle v^j, A(v_n)\rangle [T(v_i), T(v_j)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \wedge v^m \wedge v^n\\
&= v^m \wedge v^n \wedge T\circ A(\rho(T\circ A(v_n))(v_m)) + v^n \wedge v^m \wedge T\circ A(\rho(T\circ A(v_m))(v_n))\\
&\quad +[T\circ A(v_m), T\circ A(v_n)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \wedge v^m \wedge v^n\\
&= v^m \wedge v^n \wedge \Big(T\circ A\big(\rho(T\circ A(v_n))(v_m) - \rho(T\circ A(v_m))(v_n)\big) + [T\circ A(v_m), T\circ A(v_n)]_{\mathfrak{g}}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, since $T\circ A = \phi_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ T$, it is obvious that $T\circ A$ satisfies $(T\circ A)\circ A = \phi_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ (T\circ A)$. Thus, r is a solution of the classical hom-Yang-Baxter equation in the hom-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes_{\rho^*} V^*$ if and only if $T\circ A$ is an $\mathcal{O}$-operator.

Assume that $A = (a^i_j)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus A^*)^{\otimes 2} r &= A^*v^i \wedge \phi_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ T(v_i) = A^*v^i \wedge T(Av_i)\\
&= a^i_j v^j \wedge a^k_i T(v_k) = (a^i_j a^k_i) v^j \wedge T(v_k).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if A is orthogonal, we have $(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus A^*)^{\otimes 2} r = r$. ■

Corollary 5.13. *Let $(V, \cdot, \psi)$ be a regular hom-left-symmetric algebra. Suppose that*

(i) $u \cdot \psi(v) = \psi^2(u) \cdot \psi(v)$*;*

(ii) $\psi((u\cdot v - v\cdot u)\cdot w) = u\cdot(\psi(v)\cdot w) - v\cdot(\psi(u)\cdot w)$*.*

Then $r = v^i \wedge \psi^{-1}(v_i)$ is a solution of the classical hom-Yang-Baxter equation in the hom-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(A) \ltimes_{L^*} A^*$.

Proof. Note that the above conditions (i) and (ii) are exactly the conditions that (V^*, ψ^*, L^*) is a representation of the commutator hom-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ with respect to ψ^*. Moreover, the identity map $\mathrm{id} = \psi^{-1} \circ \psi : V \to \mathfrak{g}(V)$ is an $\mathcal{O}$-operator associated to the representation (V, ψ, L). Therefore, the conclusion follows from Theorem 5.12. ■

References

[1] F. Ammarb, S. Mabroukb, A. Makhlouf, Representations and cohomology of n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras, *J. Geom. Phys.* 61 (10) (2011), 1898-1913.

[2] S. Benayadi and A. Makhlouf, Hom-Lie algebras with symmetric invariant nonDegenerate bilinear forms, arXiv:1009.4226.

[3] Y. Chen, Y. Wang and L. Zhang, The Construction of Hom-Lie Bialgebras, *J. Lie Theory* 20 (2010) 767-783.

[4] I. Dorfman, Dirac Structures and Integrability of Nonlinear Evolution Equation. Wiley, 1993.

[5] J. Hartwig, D. Larsson and S. Silvestrov, Deformations of Lie algebras using σ-derivations, *J. Algebra* 295 (2006), 314-361.

[6] D. Larsson and S. Silvestrov, Quasi-hom-Lie algebras, central extensions and 2-cocycle-like identities, *J. Algebra* 288 (2005), 321-344.

[7] D. Larsson and S. Silvestrov, Quasi-Lie algebras, *Contemp. Math.* 391 (2005), 241-248.

[8] A. Makhlouf and S. Silvestrov, Notes on 1-parameter formal deformations of Hom-associative and Hom-Lie algebras, *Forum Math.* 22 (2010), 715-739.

[9] A. Makhlouf and S. Silvestrov, Hom-algebra structures, *J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl.* 2 (2008), 51-64.

[10] A. Makhlouf and D. Yau, Rota-Baxter Hom-Lie-admissible algebras, arXiv: 1108.2415.

[11] Y. Sheng, Representations of hom-Lie algebras, *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, 15 (2012), 1081-1098.

[12] Y. Sheng and D. Chen, Hom-Lie 2-algebras, *J. Algebra* 376 (2013), 174-195.

[13] D. Yau, The classical Hom-Yang-Baxter equation and Hom-Lie bialgebras, arXiv:0905.1890.

[14] D. Yau, Hom-algebras and homology, *J. Lie Theory* 19 (2009), 409-421.

[15] D. Yau, Infinitesimal Hom-bialgebras and Hom-Lie bialgebras, arXiv:1001.5000.

[16] D. Yau, Hom-Novikov algebras, *J. Phys. A* 44 (2011), 085202, 20 pp.

[17] R. Zhang, D. Hou and C. Bai, A Hom-version of the affinizations of Balinskii-Novikov and Novikov superalgebras, *J. Math. Phys.* 52 (2011), 023505, 19 pp.